

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/707,835	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Gopal C. Ray	Art Unit 2111	

All Participants:

- (1) Gopal C. Ray (USPTO).
- (2) Mr. Stuart T. Auvinen (Reg.# 36,435).

Status of Application: regular new

- (3) _____.
- (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2005
Time: 1:15 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
12

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Gopal C. Ray
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: All claims in the above US patent application are allowable over the prior art of record, alone or in combination except a typographical error in claim 12. The examiner called the applicant's representative, Mr. Auvinen for authorization of an examiner's amendment to correct the problem. Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given by Mr. Auvinen during the telephone interview.